| * | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/903,777 | SCHAEFER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Maribel Medina | 1754 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: al | llowed |
| (1) Maribel Medina. | (3) | |
| (2) Roland Martin. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 6 January 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NONE | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: NONE | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicants' representative authorized the change to claim 1. The | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide a writ | ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican | t/Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |